

COLLEGE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE DEPARTMENT OF FORENSIC SCIENCE FES 1: Chair's Rating of Teaching Effectiveness

NAME - YEAR

A Maximum score for this category is 5.0. It is the faculty members' responsibility to provide evidence for each rating. Evidence of exemplary effort or performance may be used to increase Chair's rating by 0.5.

Score	Criteria	Insert the pertinent data to support the score in the appropriate section (s)
1.0	 Planning: Inadequate syllabus, lack of preparation, and Professionalism: Irregular class attendance, does not attend commencement (if teaching) 	
2.0	 Student Achievement: Below middle range on IDEA teaching evaluations, or failure to improve instructional materials in response to student feedback or other directives, or Planning: Failure to complete any of the following in a timely manner: textbooks/course materials, university-compliant course syllabi that contain appropriate learning objectives, vita and other documents required to meet institutional or accreditation needs, institutionally required training, attendance initiatives, grade entry; or irregular or sporadic office hours or availability for student conferences/mentoring, or Professionalism: Irregular class attendance, or sporadic attendance at commencement (if teaching), departmental events, activities, orientations, faculty meetings, etc. 	
2.5	 Student Achievement: Middle range on IDEA teaching evaluations. Fair grading system clearly defined in syllabus, appropriate assignments and evaluation, timely student feedback, sensitivity to special needs of individual students, and Planning: Completion of all of the following in a timely manner: textbooks/course materials, university-compliant course syllabi that contain appropriate learning objectives, vita and other documents required to meet institutional or accreditation needs, institutionally-required training, attendance initiatives, grade entry, faculty credentials, and Professionalism: Punctual and regular in meeting classes, availability for student conferences/mentoring, high ethical standards of honesty and objectivity, professional demeanor and conduct in classroom and during office hours; and regular attendance at commencement (if teaching), 	

<u>г</u>		
3.0	 departmental events, activities, committee meetings, invited speakers, student activities, orientations, faculty meetings, etc., and Presentation: Clear and organized course content, use of appropriate instructional strategies and technologies, well-planned and well-defined assignments, development of instructional materials appropriate to course, and Continuous Improvement: Instructional materials updated in response to student feedback, regulatory or industry changes, or other directives In addition to evidence satisfying criteria for 2.5 rating: Student Achievement: Meaningful participation on completed thesis/dissertation/portfolio committees¹, leading 	
	 independent study courses, or honors contracts in addition to regular course load, or Professionalism: Completion of teaching development 	
	 workshop or conferences, or Continuous Improvement: Evidence of substantive changes to instructional materials or course content in response to student feedback, regulatory or industry changes, or other directives (provide details) 	
3.5	 In addition to evidence satisfying criteria for 3.0 rating: Student Achievement: Meaningful participation on two or more completed thesis/dissertation/portfolio committees², leading independent study courses, or honors contracts in addition to regular course load, or Professionalism: Meaningful participation in teaching development workshop or conferences, or Continuous Improvement: Successful restructuring and updating of course materials 	
4.0	 In addition to evidence satisfying criteria for 3.0 rating: Student achievement: Chairing dissertation/thesis/portfolio committee to completion¹ or average long-semester IDEA score of 4.3 or greater or Professionalism: Extensive meaningful participation in two or more teaching development workshops or conferences, or extensive meaningful involvement as a faculty mentor in teaching, or Continuous Improvement: Successful delivery of a major new course 	
4.5	 In addition to evidence satisfying criteria for 3.0 rating: Student Achievement: Chairing two or more dissertation/thesis/portfolio committees to completion¹ or meaningful involvement in six or more 	

¹ Include student name, committee type (thesis/dissertation/portfolio), date of completion (month/year). ² Include student name, committee type (thesis/dissertation/portfolio), date of completion (month/year).

Score	Criteria	Insert the pertinent data to support
		the score in the appropriate section (s)
1.0	No documented activity	
2.0	 Demonstration of research/scholarly work submitted for review in refereed journals but not published, or Presentation of non-peer-reviewed work at a regional conference Meaningful involvement as a faculty mentor in research 	
2.5	 Presentation of peer-reviewed work at a regional conference, or Presentation of non-peer-reviewed work at a national conference, or Submission of grants or contracts for external funding, or Receipt or administration of internally-funded grant(s) as the PI or Co-PI³, or Publication of one article in a refereed journal as a non-primary author⁹, or 	
3.0	 Publication of one or more original work(s) as chapters in a peer-reviewed book(s)⁴, or Published revision of a textbook⁵, or Publication of one article⁶ in a refereed journal as a primary author, or Receipt or administration of externally-funded grant(s) as the PI or Co-PI⁷ 	
3.5	 Publication of two peer-reviewed articles in academic journals regardless of authorship 	
4.0	 Publication of two or more peer-reviewed articles with at least one as a primary author in academic journals including one high impact⁸ publication, or Receipt or administration of externally-funded grant(s) as the PI or Co-PI from a federal (or other prestigious) granting agency such as NIJ, NSF, DOD etc. 	

FES 3: Scholarly and Creative Activities

³ Include PI name(s), award title, number, funding agency, award amount, award end date.

⁴ Include chapter title, book title, publisher, year.

⁵ Include book title, publisher, year.

⁶ Include full citation as published: author(s), title, journal, issue, pages, year (or doi).

⁷ Include PI name(s), award title, number, funding agency, award amount, award end date.

⁸ Impact factors and appropriate justifications (as needed) shall be provided by the faculty member. Note: Scientific Journal Rankings (<u>http://www.scimagojr.com/journalrank.php</u>) (i.e., top quartile) rather than raw impact factors can be used to compare overall journal impact across disciplines.

⁹ Primary author may be considered as either first or last (senior) author.

4.5	 Publication of tw primary author i Receipt or admin grant(s) as the P 	ion of a textbook, or vo or more peer-reviewed articles as a n high impact journals, or nistration of one or more externally-funded I or Co-PI from a federal (or other nting agency such as NIJ, NSF, DOD etc.	
5.0	 primary author i administration o the PI or Co-PI fr agency such as N Other exemplary or recognition fr 	vo or more peer-reviewed articles as a n high impact journals, and receipt or of one or more externally-funded grant(s) as rom a federal (or other prestigious) granting NJ, NSF, DOD, or v scholarly achievements (e.g., patent, honor om a university or national scholarly esearch/scholarly contributions).	

FES 4: Service⁹

Score		Insert the pertinent level (I-III) for College, Profession, University, Community to support the score
1.0	No apparent activity	
2.0	Level I activities in College OR Profession service areas, no other activity	
2.5	Level I activities in College and Profession service areas, no other activity	
3.0	Level I activities in three of four service areas	
3.5	In addition to criteria for 3.0: Level II or higher in one area OR Level I in University or Community service areas	
4.0	In addition to criteria for 3.0: Level II activity in two of the four service areas	
4.5	In addition to criteria for 3.0: Level II activity in two of the four service areas with one Level I activity in a third service area	
	OR	
	Level II activity in two of the four service areas with Level III activity in one of those areas	
5.0	In addition to criteria for 4.0: At least two Level III activities	
	OR	
	At least one Level I activity in three of the four service areas, with Level III activity in at least one of those areas	
	OR	
	At least one Level I activity in all four service areas, with Level II or higher activity in one of those areas	

¹⁰ In general, non-remunerated service activities will be weighted more heavily.

OR	
Receipt of a service award or other recognition for outstanding professional/agency based service	

College	Insert the pertinent data to support the score in the appropriate section (s)
 Participating and contributing member of college committee or working group (**if committee involves substantial and demonstrated time commitment or is of substantial import, increase rating by .5) 	
 Level II Chair of one college committee, or Participating and contributing member of two college committees or working groups (**if committee involves substantial and demonstrated time commitment or is of substantial import, increase rating by .5) Recruitment presentations on and/or off campus; Sustained sponsorship/support of graduate and undergraduate student activities (e.g., writing letters of recommendation, assisting in employment placement, LAE, CJ Honor Society) 	
 Level III Member of multiple (3 or more) college committees or working groups OR chair of one committee that involves a substantial and demonstrated time commitment (**if committee involves substantial and demonstrated time commitment or is of substantial import, increase rating by .5) Sustained involvement with visitors to College, media interviews, etc.; 	
Profession	
 Level I Reviewer of two manuscripts for professional academic journal(s) or publisher(s) Chair/discussant for panels at state/national/international professional conferences Moderation of scientific sessions at national/international professional conferences External reviewer for honors or masters thesis 	

Level I	1	
	Reviewer of three or more manuscripts for professional	
	academic journal(s) or publisher(s)	
	Member, editorial board of a scholarly journal.	
	Vember external review board for criminal	
	ustice/forensic science-related agency;	
•		
	Member of national, regional (multi-state), or state level criminal justice/forensic science/education-related task	
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	force or commission;	
	Editor of criminal justice/forensic science/education	
	related peer-reviewed scholarly journal;	
	Elected officer (other than President, Vice President,	
	Secretary, or Treasurer) of a <i>regional or multi-state</i>	
	criminal justice/social science/education related	
-	professional association or appointed to a major national	
	organization sub-committee.	
	Program Chair of regional (multi-state) or state-level	
	scholarly professional association meeting;	
	Elected President, Vice-President, Secretary, or Treasurer	
	or similar positions) of a <i>regional</i> professional agency	
	pased association;	
	Appointed to a major national organization sub-	
	committee	
	Reviewer of external technical assistance/agency based	
-	grant/contract application for state, national or	
	nternational granting agencies/organizations;	
	Reviewer of external research /contract grant application	
	or state, national or international granting	
	agencies/organizations;	
• E	External reviewer of forensic science educational	
ĥ	program;	
• E	External reviewer of candidates for tenure/promotion;	
• E	External reviewer for doctoral dissertation	
Level I	II	
	Elected President, Vice President, Secretary, or Treasurer	
-	or similar positions) of a major national or international	
	criminal justice/forensic science/education-related	
Ŗ	professional association;	
• E	Elected President, Vice President, Secretary, or Treasurer	
C	of a regional or multi-state criminal/forensic	
S	science/education-related scholarly professional	
a	association	
• (Chair of a major criminal justice/forensic	
S	science/education-related task force or commission at the	
i	nternational, national, regional, or state level;	

 Program Chair of a <i>national</i> criminal justice/forensic science/educational annual meeting; Editor of criminal justice/forensic science/education-related peer-reviewed journal Elected officer of a national or international criminal justice/forensic science/education-related professional association or Chair of national or international association sub-committee 	
University	
 Participating and contributing member of university level committee or working group 	
 Level II Chair of university level committee Participating and contributing member of two or more university level committees OR one committee that involves a substantial and demonstrated time commitment Elected to university or college committee / task force by peer vote 	
 Level III Member of multiple (3 or more) university committees OR chair of one committee that involves a substantial and demonstrated time commitment Elected to Faculty Senate 	
Community	
 Level I Regular attendance at agency level professional meetings, but not presenting Isolated incidents of technical or instructional assistance and/or professional workshops provided to criminal justice/forensic science/education agencies 	
 Level II Member of local (city/county level) criminal justice/forensic science/education related task force; Member of board of directors for local criminal justice/forensic science/education related agencies Committee member of practitioner / agency-based professional association Level III 	
Editor of agency-based / practitioner criminal justice/forensic science/education related <i>newsletter</i> ;	

the institution
